



Bone_spurred · 8 hours ago

One problem is the superintendent and his cronies act with impunity and there is no check on their power. The board votes only on policies and money and can vote the superintendent in or out. They don't however tell the superintendent how to run the district. But without a check on their power, they abuse it and cost the state money with lawsuits. All Mr. Clara is doing is exposing the superintendent where nobody else will. Most noted is where the superintendent who makes well in excess of \$200,000 is receiving a 6% raise where his teachers who make considerably less are in the trenches and are lucky to get 1%. And if one dwells deeper there are probably more egregious acts committed by administrators that are just swept under the rug. Keep up the good fight Mr. Clara

4 ^ | v Reply Share ›



JasonROlsen → Bone_spurred · 6 hours ago

Your information is not accurate. I have personally seen the superintendent's pay check stubs. He did not receive a 6% raise.

^ | v Reply Share ›



Bone_spurred → JasonROlsen · 3 hours ago

My info came from a recent SLTrib article where Mr. Clara made the statement. Dr. Wethers stated it wasn't true. But the agenda was moved along. My bet is it is somewhere in between. Even if it 2% that's still twice as much as the teachers. You're the mouthpiece for the district so exactly what raise did he get?

^ | v Reply Share ›



JasonROlsen → Bone_spurred · 22 minutes ago

He received a 1% COLA, and one-time stipends, authorized by the legislature, from previous years were added to his salary schedule... just like all other employees.

^ | v Reply Share ›